Exploring Streaming Dataflow Architecture for In Situ Visualization

Huy Vo, Daniel Osmari, Joao Comba, Peter Lindstrom, and Claudio Silva

Work in Progress Report

Funded by Sandia, LLNL, DOE, and NSF

VTK Parallelism (pre 2010)



Parallelism only at module level !

H.Vo, et al, Computer Graphics Forum (Proceedings of EuroVis), 2010

Thursday, April 28, 2011

INSTITUTE

VTK Parallelism (post 2010)





H.Vo, et al, Computer Graphics Forum (Proceedings of EuroVis), 2010

Issues

- Resources are maintained per each module
- Not suitable for GPU coordinations
- Conforming to VTK pipeline requests is making extension to the system to be over complicated

 Citations to detailed related work (e.g., Vislt, ParaView, DeVIDE, SCIRun, VisTrails, ...) are in our manuscript.



H.Vo, et al, Computer Graphics Forum (Proceedings of EuroVis), 2010

... then comes HyperFlow

- A true dataflow architecture
- Supporting both GPUs and CPUs
- Flexible to extend to other platforms
- Provide highly-parallel constructs







H.Vo, D. Osmari, J. Comba, P. Lindstrom, and C. Silva, submitted, 2011.

- Task-Oriented Module (TOM)
 - A set of implementations
 - Each has its own resource specification





- Virtual Processing Element (VPE)
 - Abstract device driver
 - Setup context for execution and data transfer











- Flows Data Connections
 - Execution tokens
 - Wrapping actual data









- Resource Manager and Execution Scheduler
 - Direct flows
 - Schedule data transfers
 - Map computation to VPEs







HyperFlow Library

- roughly 3000 lines of code
- 15 classes, but only need to know 5
 - hyperflow::TaskImplementation
 - hyperflow::TaskOrientedModule
 - hyperflow::Flow
 - hyperflow::Data
 - hyperflow::ExecutionEngine

HyperFlow API

• Easy to use: just send flows to the system

```
class TaskOrientedModule {
public:
  TaskOrientedModule(int nInput, int nOutput,
                     const char *moduleName);
  void addImplementation(TaskImplementation* impl);
  static void connect(
      TaskOrientedModule* srcModule, int srcPort,
      TaskOrientedModule* dstModule, int dstPort
    );
  ... // Additional parameters if subclassed
};
// Construction of the pipeline
TaskOrientedModule Source(0, 1, "Image Reader");
TaskOrientedModule Filter(1, 1, "Gaussian Blur");
TaskOrientedModule Sink(1, 0, "Viewer");
Source.addImplementation(new SourceImpl());
Filter.addImplementation(new FilterImpl());
Sink.addImplementation(new SinkImpl());
TaskOrientedModule::connect(&Source,0,&Filter,0);
TaskOrientedModule::connect(&Filter,0,&Sink,0);
```

```
while <data is coming> do {
   Flow *flow = engine->createFlow(data);
   engine->sendFlows(1, flow, RET_ON_DEPLOY, true);
}
```

HyperFlow In Action

• An edge detection pipeline









HyperFlow In Action

• Each flow carries a piece of image





H.Vo, D. Osmari, J. Comba, P. Lindstrom, and C. Silva, submitted, 2011.

Thursday, April 28, 2011

INSTITUTI

HyperFlow In Action

• Pairs of (module, flow) are mapped onto available resources





H.Vo, D. Osmari, J. Comba, P. Lindstrom, and C. Silva, submitted, 2011.

Thursday, April 28, 2011

INSTITUT

Experiments with HyperFlow

- Synthetic applications (micro-benchmarks)
 - Evaluating scheduling and data handling strategies
- Real applications
 - Evaluating scalability, performance and usability in practice





H.Vo, D. Osmari, J. Comba, P. Lindstrom, and C. Silva, submitted, 2011.

Micro-benchmarks

- Model actual pipelines without implementing real computation code
- Each task implementation is parameterized by execution time and input/output ratio
- Generated automatically by Python script
- Benchmark results can be visualized after runs with flow animation and Gantt charts of resources utilization.





Trace Visualization







H.Vo, D. Osmari, J. Comba, P. Lindstrom, and C. Silva, submitted, 2011.



Micro-benchmarks Pipelines



H.Vo, D. Osmari, J. Comba, P. Lindstrom, and C. Silva, submitted, 2011.



Thursday, April 28, 2011

INSTITUTI

Asymmetric Flow Animation





H.Vo, D. Osmari, J. Comba, P. Lindstrom, and C. Silva, submitted, 2011.

HyperFlow

Micro-benchmarks Gantt Charts

Asymmetric (57.4% utilization)

Split-Join (78.9% utilization)





Scan (83.4% utilization)





H.Vo, D. Osmari, J. Comba, P. Lindstrom, and C. Silva, submitted, 2011.



Streaming Edge Detection



Simulation Code

Simulation Code



Visualization Code

Simulation Code







Analysis/Storage







Sequential Execution: Run all simulations Run data reduction

X data spilled to disks



Data Reduction



Concurrent Execution:

Visualization and Simulation are **indendent** tasks performing visualization as soon as data are ready



Concurrent Execution:
Visualization and Simulation are **indendent** tasks performing visualization as soon as data are ready
X still has the memory footprint problem if Simulation produces data faster than Visualization





Simulation Code













Analysis/Storage

Simulation Code













Simulation Code













Analysis/Storage

Simulation Code













Simulation Code













Simulation Code











Simulation Code



Data Reduction

Analysis/Storage

Simulation Code













Simulation Code









Simulation Code



Interleaving Simulation and Visualization tasks low memory footprint cache-coherent



Simulation Code





Interleaving Simulation and Visualization tasks low memory footprint cache-coherent

How to divide processing power between Simulation and Visualization for parallel execution?

- •Too much Sim: high memory usage
- •Too much Vis: low performance



Input: 600 compressed images

Simulation Code

Image decompression from disks

Visualization

Perform edge detection

Data Reduction: resize images



Store resized images to disk

8-core machine



Simulation (image decoding/disk I/O) needs more processing power



Results in more memory usage

- Fixed resource allocation sacrifices memory footprint for performance
- Needs an adaptive scheduler to leverage the processing power between simulation and visualization

In Situ Dataflow Architecture --Using HyperFlow

- Tie simulation and visualization together
- Support streaming
- Minimize memory footprint
- Efficient parallel execution
- Lightweight and straightforward integration

Threaded VTK Example



Threaded VTK Example



Better performance...

Threaded VTK Example



... and lower memory footprint!

Isosurface Example



Input: 2048x2048x1920 grid

Simulation Code

Streaming data from disks

Visualization

Isosurface Extraction

Each visualization code processes data from several outputs of the Simulation code!

HyperFlow simplifies data dependency



- Just specify the data communication between modules
- HyperFlow will optimize the data transfer scheduling strategy to best use the system resources (including memory usage)

Isosurface Performance



Isosurface Performance



Higher base memory usage because of the unoptimized data dependency but has better scalability as well

Lessons Learned

- Streaming should be used to avoid the memory challenge of in situ vis
- Simulation and Visualization need to be closely integrated (in a dataflow archicture) to achieve efficient memory usage and performance

Isocontouring Structured Grid



 $(Dx-1) \times (Dy-1)$ modules

- Mix multiple data- and task-parallel phases
- Compared to an MPI, hand-tuned parallel streaming algorithm by Isenburg et al [2010].

H.Vo, D. Osmari, J. Comba, P. Lindstrom, and C. Silva, submitted, 2011.

INSTITUT



Isocontouring Structured Grid



- Ran on the UV machine with 264-core
- HyperFlow incurred less overhead than MPI
- Both methods only scale to 64-core



Scalability Issue



Saturation of memory bandwidth is the cause

HyperFlow 67

H.Vo, D. Osmari, J. Comba, P. Lindstrom, and C. Silva, submitted, 2011.